Kinetics of the Desulphuration of ³⁵S-Labelled Thiourea in Sodium Hydroxide studied by Chromatographic Methods

By G. Marcotrigiano, G. Peyronel,* and R. Battistuzzi, Istituto di Chimica Generale e Inorganica, Università di Modena, 41100 Modena, Italy

The kinetics of the desulphuration of 35S-labelled thiourea in aqueous solutions of carbon dioxide-free sodium hydroxide has been studied at 80-100 °C in the range pH 12-13. The undecomposed thiourea and the sulphide ion were separated by paper chromatography and their radioactivity was measured. The desulphuration may be considered to be a second-order reaction $S=C(NH_2)_2 + OH^- \rightarrow NCNH_2 + SH^- + H_2O$. The rate constants are sensitive to the ionic strength of the medium. An activation energy of 18 ± 1 kcal mol⁻¹ was obtained.

THE isomerization of thiourea (Tu) to ammonium thiocyanate in acid and salt aqueous solutions (90-130 °C)¹ and in molten mixtures of thiourea and ammonium thiocvanate^{2,3} is first order with respect to thiourea. In alkaline solutions thiourea first gives sodium sulphide and cyanamide (CA) which is then transformed into dicvanamide, amidinourea, guanidine or, at pH > 12, almost quantitatively into urea (U); this isomerizes to ammonium cyanate which is then hydrolysed to ammonium carbonate. Kosareva et al.4 studied the kinetics of the thiourea desulphuration in NaOH at different concentrations in the range 80-120 °C and concluded that it is first order with respect to sodium hydroxide and to thiourea. The results of this investigation are questionable because (a) the experiments were done in sealed glass tubes which are attacked by the alkali, (b) it is doubtful that the analytical methods used give very accurate quantitative data, and (c) the rate constants were evaluated by using the tangent of the initial part of the curve obtained by plotting $\log ([Tu_0]/[Tu_i])$ versus t. We have therefore re-examined the kinetics of this reaction by (a) using Teflon containers and 35 S-labelled thiourea, (b) separating the thiourea from the sulphide ions by paper chromatography, and (c) determining their quantities by radioactivity measurements.

EXPERIMENTAL

A 0.2M aqueous solution of ³⁵S-labelled thiourea, diluted with inactive thiourea, was prepared with water twice distilled under nitrogen in order to exclude carbon dioxide. A 0.2M aqueous solution of NaOH was prepared by diluting under nitrogen a Normex solution (C. Erba) of pure NaOH with the same carbon dioxide-free water. The solutions were kept under nitrogen in an automated apparatus from which measured volumes of the solutions were directly transferred into the reaction containers under nitrogen.

Only in one series of experiments were Pyrex glass tubes of ca. 0.2 ml capacity used for comparison. After filling them with the solution they were sealed and hermetically closed in a steel container with some drops of water in order to counterbalance the internal pressure of the glass tube on warming. The other experiments were done using Teflon containers of the same capacity hermetically sealed in a steel container.

pH Measurements were performed at 100 °C, the solution ¹ W. H. R. Shaw and D. G. Walker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 5769.

² W. H. R. Shaw and D. G. Walker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1958, **80**, 5337.

first being quenched at room temperature, in Teflon containers of ca. 2 ml capacity under nitrogen. The measurements were made in a thermostat $(\pm 0.1 \text{ °C})$ using a microelectrode Metrohom EA 125U (pH = 0-14) particularly suited for measurements at high pH connected with a Polymetron 42B apparatus reading 0.01 pH units.

An aqueous solution of zinc acetate (0.02 ml) were dried on one side of a strip of chromatographic paper (35×1 cm). The reacted solution (0.02 ml) was then superimposed on the spot of zinc acetate in order to fix the sulphide ions as ZnS. Thiourea was then eluted in a compact spot on the strip by the ascending method with n-butanol-ethanol-0.1Maqueous ammonium acetate (4:1:2). Zinc acetate does not interfere with the elution of thiourea. Preliminary experiments showed that up to 100 °C, in the solutions used and for the times explored, no thiocyanate is formed and therefore all the transformed thiourea gives only sulphide ions.

The ratioactivity of the sulphide and thiourea spots was determined with a Geiger-Muller counter with a 10×10 mm slit by measuring successive contiguous areas of the strip. The sum of the radioactivities of thiourea and sulphide spots was always equal to that of the starting thiourea.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental values of the concentrations $[S^{*2-}]$ determined after time t at temperature T in the solutions having starting concentrations [Tu*] and [NaOH] are given in Table 1. By assuming that in the desulphuration reaction (1) the OH⁻ consumption equals the SH⁻

$$S = C(NH_2)_2 + OH^- \longrightarrow NC \cdot NH_2 + SH^- + H_2O \quad (1)$$

formation and by putting $[S=C(NH_2)_2] = [Tu^*] = a$, $[OH^-] = b$, and $[SH^-]=[S^{*2-}] = x$ in the second-order rate law dx/dt = k(a - x)(b - x) the rate constants k were calculated.

Plots of 1/(a - x) (Figure 1) or $\log (a - x)/(b - x)$ versus t are linear for all NaOH solutions in Teflon; In Figure 2 the values of $\log [b(a - x)]/[a(b - x)]$ versus t are reported in order to have a common origin for the different curves. In all the experiments (Tu: NaOH ratios from 5 to 0.2 and NaOH concentrations varying from 0.1 to 0.02M) the k values are satisfactorily constant over the whole range of times explored. The plot of log k versus 1/T is linear (Figure 3) and gives the values

 ³ A. N. Kappanna, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 1927, 4, 217.
⁴ L. A. Kosareva, L. G. Lavrenova, T. V. Zegjda, and S. M. Shulman, Isvest. sibirsk. Otdel. Akad. Nauk, Ser. khim. Nauk, 1968, 6, 57.

⁵ P. Dutoit and L. Gagnaux, J. Chim. Phys., 1906, 4, 261.

Kinetic	s of the	desul	phuratio	on of [‡]	³₅S-label	led th	iourea (Tu*) i	in aqueo	us sol	utions o	f NaO	H ; 10	⁵k in l	mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	L
Container <i>T</i> /°С 10 ⁵ [Tu*]/м 10 ⁵ [NaOH]/м	Teflon 100 10,000 2000	(a)	Teflon 100 10,000 5000	(b)	Teflon 80 10,000 10,000	(c)	Teflon 90 10,000 10,000	(d)	Teflon 100 10,000 10,000	(e)	Teflon 100 5000 10,000	(f)	Teflon 100 2000 10,000	(g)	Glass 100 10,000 10,000	(h)
t/h	[S*2-]	k	[S*2-]	k	[S*]²-	k	[S*]²-	k	[S*2-]	k	[S*2-]	k	[S*2-]	k	[S*2-]	k
1									349 363	$10.1 \\ 10.5$	224	12.9			312	8.95
2	$\begin{array}{c} 163 \\ 214 \end{array}$	$11.9 \\ 15.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 395 \\ 384 \end{array}$	$11.7 \\ 11.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 199 \\ 184 \end{array}$	$2.82 \\ 2.60$	$\begin{array}{c} 375 \\ 384 \end{array}$	$5.41 \\ 5.55$	$\begin{array}{c} 663 \\ 726 \end{array}$	9·8 10·9	$\begin{array}{c} 407\\ 372 \end{array}$	$12.0 \\ 10.9$	201 196	$14.8 \\ 14.5$	628	9.31
3									$\begin{array}{c} 1093 \\ 1085 \end{array}$	$11 \cdot 4 \\ 11 \cdot 3$	622	12.7			779	7.82
4	$\begin{array}{c} 284 \\ 278 \end{array}$	$10.8 \\ 10.6$	$\begin{array}{c} 703 \\ 691 \end{array}$	$10.9 \\ 10.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 390 \\ 414 \end{array}$	$2.82 \\ 3.00$	$747 \\ 735$	$5.61 \\ 5.51$	$\begin{array}{c} 1266 \\ 1355 \end{array}$	$10.1 \\ 10.9$	$765 \\ 752$	$12.0 \\ 11.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 376\\ 374 \end{array}$	$14.7 \\ 14.6$	1030	7.97
6	$\begin{array}{c} 393 \\ 442 \end{array}$	$10.3 \\ 11.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 958 \\ 1020 \end{array}$	$10.4 \\ 11.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 554 \\ 506 \end{array}$	$2.71 \\ 2.47$	$\begin{array}{c} 1076 \\ 1038 \end{array}$	$5.58 \\ 5.36$	$\begin{array}{c} 1846 \\ 1876 \end{array}$	$10.5 \\ 10.7$	1084	12 ·0	$\begin{array}{c} 510 \\ 498 \end{array}$	$14 \cdot 1 \\ 13 \cdot 6$	1435	7.76
7	$\begin{array}{c} 450 \\ 466 \end{array}$	$10.4 \\ 10.8$														
8	$\begin{array}{c} 493 \\ 464 \end{array}$	10·1 9·6	$\begin{array}{c}1272\\1210\end{array}$	$10.9 \\ 10.3$	$677 \\ 673$	$2.52 \\ 2.51$	$\frac{1311}{1292}$	$5.24 \\ 5.15$	$\begin{array}{c} 2246 \\ 2272 \end{array}$	$10.1 \\ 10.2$	1310	11.3	$\begin{array}{c} 592 \\ 624 \end{array}$	$12.6 \\ 13.4$	1576	6 ∙50
10	$\begin{array}{c} 615\\ 611 \end{array}$	$10.6 \\ 10.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 1416 \\ 1427 \end{array}$	$10.0 \\ 10.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 852 \\ 818 \end{array}$	$2.59 \\ 2.48$	$\begin{array}{r} 1743 \\ 1730 \end{array}$	$5.86 \\ 5.81$	$\begin{array}{c} 2560 \\ 2749 \end{array}$	$9.6 \\ 10.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 1497 \\ 1520 \end{array}$	$10.8 \\ 11.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 762 \\ 742 \end{array}$	$13.9 \\ 13.4$	1712	5.74
12	$\frac{812}{760}$	$12.6 \\ 11.5$			$\begin{array}{c} 987 \\ 1004 \end{array}$	$2.54 \\ 2.58$	$\begin{array}{c} 1959 \\ 1934 \end{array}$	$5.64 \\ 5.55$	$\begin{array}{c} 2982 \\ 2948 \end{array}$	9∙8 9∙7	$\begin{array}{c} 1667 \\ 1722 \end{array}$	$10.3 \\ 10.8$	893 902	$14.4 \\ 14.6$	1914	5.48
15	$\begin{array}{c} 882 \\ 884 \end{array}$	$11.3 \\ 11.4$			$\begin{array}{c} 1252 \\ 1217 \end{array}$	$2.65 \\ 2.57$	$\begin{array}{c} 2299 \\ 2283 \end{array}$	$5.53 \\ 5.48$	$\begin{array}{c} 3458 \\ 3549 \end{array}$	$9.8 \\ 10.2$	1918	10.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1024 \\ 1046 \end{array}$	$14 \cdot 1 \\ 14 \cdot 6$	2047	4 ·77
20															2328	4.21
Mean value		10.9		10.7		2.63		5.51		10.3		11.4		14.1		

TABLE 1 Kinetics of the desulphuration of ³⁵S-labelled thiourea (Tu*) in aqueous solutions of NaOH \cdot 10⁵k in 1 mol⁻¹

Table	2
-------	----------

k Values calculated from the data of [Tu] at time t given in ref. 4 for solutions of [Tu] = 0.0360 and [NaOH] = 0.1M at 120 °C, in glass containers $10^4k_{\odot}/c^{-1}$

					10 / 0/
t/h	[Tu]/mм	[CA]/mM	[U]/mM	$10^{5}k_{1}/s^{-1}$	l mol ⁻¹ s ⁻¹
0.08	35.0	1.4		$9 \cdot 2$	14.1
0.25	33.7	$2 \cdot 4$	3.5	7.3	7.4
0.5	31.4	3.3	$2 \cdot 8$	7.6	7.8
1	$29 \cdot 8$	$2 \cdot 3$	4.3	5.3	5.4
1.5	$28 \cdot 2$	2.0	4.3	4.5	4 ·7
2	$26 \cdot 4$	1.7	$5 \cdot 3$	$4 \cdot 3$	4.5
4	$21 \cdot 2$	2.7	$3 \cdot 3$	$3 \cdot 7$	4 ·0
6	18.6	$3 \cdot 4$	$3 \cdot 1$	$3 \cdot 1$	$3 \cdot 4$
12	13.7	4 ·7	$2 \cdot 3$	$2 \cdot 2$	2.6
24	10.2	$3 \cdot 9$	$3 \cdot 4$	1.5	1.7
48	$6 \cdot 2$	4.1	$2 \cdot 9$	$1 \cdot 0$	1.3
72	4.7	$5 \cdot 6$	1.4	0.79	1.0
96	3.9	$4 \cdot 8$	1.9	0.64	0.83
120	$2 \cdot 9$	5.0	$3 \cdot 5$	0.58	0.77

in Table 3 for the energy of activation. The mean value is close to that of 16 ± 2 kcal mol⁻¹ obtained by Kosareva *et al.*⁴

By calculating the ionic strength $\mu = \frac{1}{2}C_i Z_i^{26}$ from



FIGURE 1 Plots of 1/(a - x) versus t for solutions of thiourea (0.1M) and NaOH (0.1M) at 80, 90, and 100 °C, in Teflon containers. The values obtained in Pyrex containers at 100 °C are also reported



FIGURE 2 Plots of log [b(a - x)]/[a(b - x)] versus t for solutions of thiourea and NaOH in the ratios 0.1: 0.02, 0.1: 0.05, and 0.05: 0.1, at 100 °C. $a = [Tu], b = [OH^{-1}]$



Figure 3 Arrhenius plot for the desulphuration of thiourea at 80, 90, and 100 $^\circ \rm C$

the NaOH concentration and plotting k versus $\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (Figure 4) a straight line is obtained; the extrapolation ⁶ S. W. Benson, 'Chemical Kinetics,' McGraw-Hill, New York, 1960, p. 525.

TABLE 3	
$T_2 - T_1/^{\circ}C$	E/kcal mol ⁻¹
100-90	16.8
9080	18.9
10080	17.9
Mean value	18 ± 1

The rate constants calculated for both a first- and a second-order reaction (assuming $[OH^-]$ consumed equal to $[SH^-]$ formed) from the data obtained by Kosareva *et al.*⁴ (Table 2) in glass containers decrease very rapidly with time.



FIGURE 4 Plots of k and of $\log (k/k_0)$ versus $\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ($\mu = \text{ionic}$ strength) for solutions of Tu (0·1M) and NaOH at concentration of 0·02, 0·05, and 0·1M

The rate constant of desulphuration measured in glass containers [Table 1(h)] decreases with time presumably on account of the consumption of NaOH by the glass.

The pH measurements at room temperature (Table 4) indicate that a certain amount of OH^- is consumed by the reaction. If the OH^- concentration is calculated from the pH values, taking into account the OH^- activities at the different concentrations,⁷ then the OH^- consumption is greater than that corresponding to the desulphuration reaction (1) assumed for the calculation of the rate constants.

law. From these rate constants one might expect that cyanamide and urea should be practically absent from the system. Kosareva *et al.*⁴ found in their solutions

		TABLI	Е 4		_				
pH Values	measured	l at T_0 for	aqueous	solutions	warmed				
at 100 °C									
Container	Teflon	Teflon	Teflon	Glass	Glass				
$T/^{\circ}C$	23	25	22	25	$22 \cdot 5$				
[Tu]/M	0.10	0.02	0.02	0.10	0				
[NaOH]/м	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10				
t/h	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)					
0	12.96	12.89	13.00	12.89	12.97				
1	12.95	12.86		12.86	12.96				
2	12.94	12.84	13.00	12.81	12.95				
3	12.91	12.80		12.76	12.91				
4	12.89	12.76	13.00	12.72	12.89				
6	12.85	12.73	12.99	12.66	12.85				
8	12.77	12.70	12.99	12.54	12.84				
10	12.75	12.64	12.98	$12 \cdot 42$	12.83				
12	12.73	12.60	12.97	12.28	12.81				
15	12.63	12.53	12.96	11.91	12.80				
20	12.39		12.94	11.04	12.79				

(Table 2) 5—15% of cyanamide and ca. 10% of urea, calculated on the starting thiourea, from 0.25 to 120 h. This discrepancy is perhaps due to the fact that all these

$NC(NH_2)_2 + H_2O \longrightarrow O = C(NH_2)_2$	(2)
$O = C(NH_2)_2 \longrightarrow NH_4CNO$	(3)
$OCN + 2H_2O \rightarrow HCO_3 + NH_3$	(4)
$k_2 = 8 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 50^{\circ} \text{C}$	(5)
$k_3 = 0.15 \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{at} 100^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	(6)
$k_{L} = 0.18 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 100^{\circ} \text{C}$	(7)

experiments 4.9.10 were performed in glass vessels. Anyhow these data show that cyanate and carbonate ion are formed very early in the reaction and may be responsible for the OH⁻ consumption observed (Table 4) being greater than that corresponding to reaction (1). The calculations of rate constants were based on reaction (1) assuming that the OH⁻ consumption equals the SH⁻ formation. Such a simplification does not seem to affect the results, however, as is shown by the rather good and consistent values obtained for different Tu : NaOH ratios and at different temperatures.

It is known that thiourea behaves as a zwitterion ^{10,11}



It was shown by Buchanam and Barsky⁸ that cyanamide, at pH 12.6—13.6 and 50 °C, is almost quantitatively hydrolysed to urea, and by Warner⁹ that urea, above pH 12 and at 100 °C, is isomerized to ammonium cyanate and that potassium cyanate, in 0.1M-NaOH at 100 °C, is hydrolysed to ammonium carbonate. The three reactions follow a first-order rate

⁷ B. E. Conway, 'Electrochemical Data,' Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1952, p. 88.

⁸ G. H. Buchanam and G. Barsky, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1930, 52, 195.

which may play a role in the thiourea desulphuration. A tentative mechanism of this reaction is suggested in the Scheme.

This work was supported by financial aid from the C.N.R.

[1/2509 Received, 31st December, 1971]

⁹ R. C. Warner, J. Biol. Chem., 1941, 705.

¹⁰ W. D. Kumler and G. M. Fohlen, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1942, 64, 1944.

¹¹ J. L. Walter, J. A. Ryan, and T. J. Lane, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1956, **78**, 5560.